

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/007,189 | 11/08/2001 | Steve Somers | 238 P003' | 5701 | |
| .75 | 590 02/13/2003 | | | | |
| Russell E. Hattis | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1640 Jasmine Court Highland Park, IL 60035 | | | SMITH, J | SMITH, JAMES G | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3723 | <u> </u> | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| c | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summan | 10/007,189 | SOMERS, STEVE | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| TI. MAH INO DATE CUI | James G. Smith | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L | December 2002 . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 8-14 is/are pending in the application | • | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | ` ' | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a | a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | , (-, -, (-, | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But | ity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | · | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) 🔲 Interview Summer | ((DTO 413) Paper No(e) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal I | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Debat and Trade and Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/007,189

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: ***
 - (a) page 2, line 31; change "3C-3C" to -3D-3D- -;
 - (b) page 4, line 13; delete "thee"; and
 - (3) page 6, line 3; change "40b and 40" to -40a' and 40a- -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: there are two claims numbered 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-14 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is still unclear as to what is meant by a "socket-forming" part in all the claims. It appears that applicant is trying to claim a machine or tool that makes a socket or a part of a socket.

It is unclear as to what is meant by "located at **ond** of the opposite longitudinal outer ends" in claim 8. Should this be "one"?

Application/Control Number: 10/007,189

Art Unit: 3723

There are also numerous uses of the phrase "outer end" and are only two "outer ends" per device, the meaning is ambiguous.

There are also numerous instances of improper antecedent, e.g. "the opposite end" and "the latter parts" in claim 8; "said ball-forming member" in claims 9, 13 and 14; "said ball member-receiving bores" in claims 10 and 11. As these are only examples, any new or amended claim(s) should be completely checked for proper antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-14 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jarvis or Martinez in view of either Gadberry or Bellows.

Jarvis or Martinez both show the claimed invention except for the use of a socket portion in both "socket forming and driver receiving parts" that has two different size apertures in each. Either Gadberry or Bellows suggests that a driver can have such a double aperture socket so that the drive receiving aperture is the inner aperture. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jarvis or Martinez by using a socket portion having two apertures per socket portion because either Gadberry or Bellows suggests the use of such a double aperture socket in a single tool.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,189

Art Unit: 3723

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The newly submitted claims are still indefinite for the reasons stated above.

The use of a socket portion of both ends of a universal joint type of connection is old as shown by either Jarvis or Martinez. What is not shown is the use of two differently sized apertures in each socket portion wherein the inner aperture is the drive receiving aperture, however the patents to either Gadberry or Bellows suggest that such sockets are well known.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) first Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-9835 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Jamés G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs February 6, 2003